

The Calculus of the Subject: A Unified Theory of Lacanian Topology, Cybernetic Psychometrics, and the Non- Linear Dynamics of Discourse

AUTHORS

Jim McKenney

Principal Investigator, Eigenia B.V.

PUBLISHED

Jun 2024

ABSTRACT

Psychology has two traditions that rarely meet. Psychometrics, represented here by the Big Five and Cybernetic Big Five Theory, treats the subject as a measurable system that regulates entropy. Lacanian psychoanalysis treats the subject as an effect of the signifier, described by topology rather than measurement. This paper proposes a Calculus of the Subject that joins the two. Personality traits are read as the initial conditions and control parameters of a dynamical system: Openness becomes the coefficient χ of entropy preference in the utility function, and the ten aspects of the Big Five define an attractor landscape. Lacan's Four Discourses are aligned with the DISC model and with Big Five clusters, and the Dark Factor of personality is read through the structure of perversion. Conversation is then modeled as a non-linear dynamical system, using Gottman and Murray's coupled difference equations for affect, the cusp catastrophe for sudden topic shifts and outbursts, and the Dirac delta $\delta(t)$ as a model of the Lacanian cut. The result is a phase-space account in which personality sets the elastic properties of a topological surface and conversation is the coupled oscillation of two such surfaces.

lacan

psychoanalysis

big-five

cb5t

psychological-entropy

topology

four-discourses

disc

dark-factor

dynamical-systems

catastrophe-theory

scansion

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

1 · TWO MODELS OF THE SUBJECT

Psychometric
Big Five "board" · CB5T
 $U(g) = U(\hat{x}) + \chi N$

+

Psychoanalytic
Torus · Möbius strip
 $S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot \mathbb{S} \cdot a$

↓

Calculus of the Subject
traits as control parameters

2 · ALIGNMENT: DISCOURSE · DISC · ATTRACTOR

$S_1 \rightarrow S_2$

D

Fixed point

$S_2 \rightarrow a$

C

Limit cycle

$\mathbb{S} \rightarrow S_1$

I

Strange attractor

$a \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$

S

Basin of attraction

LowRegulatedHighCatalyst

Entropic state of each discourse

3 · DYNAMICS OF CONVERSATION

Coupled affect
 $W_{t+1} = I_W(W_t) + R_{HW}(H_t) + A$

Cusp catastrophe
 $V(z) = \frac{1}{4} z^4 - \frac{1}{2} \beta z^2 - \alpha z$
high $\beta \rightarrow$ bistability $\rightarrow$ topic shift

The cut $\delta(t)$
scansion $\rightarrow$ new S_1

Personality traits set the parameters of a dynamical system, Lacan's Four Discourses align with DISC profiles and attractor types, and conversation is modeled through coupled difference equations, the cusp catastrophe and the analytic cut.

1 Introduction: The Geometrization of the Psyche

The history of psychological theory is bifurcated by a profound epistemological schism. On one bank stands the Galilean tradition of Psychometrics, exemplified by the Five-Factor Model (Big Five) and the cybernetic theories of personality espoused by researchers such as Colin DeYoung and public intellectuals like Jordan Peterson. This tradition posits the human subject as a measurable entity, a cluster of stable traits—Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism—that can be quantified, statistically analyzed, and mapped onto a Cartesian "board" of personality. Here, the subject is continuous, predictable, and defined by the regulation of entropy.

On the opposite bank stands the structuralist and post-structuralist tradition of Lacanian Psychoanalysis. For Jacques Lacan, the subject is not an object of measurement but an effect of the signifier—a discontinuity, a void, or a "cut" in the Real. Lacan famously declared that "mathemes are not measurement," rejecting the metric quantification of the ego in favor of Topology—the study of surfaces, knots, and holes that retain their structural integrity under deformation. For Lacan, the subject ($\mathbb{S}$) is a variable in a logic of signifiers, not a statistical data point.

This report proposes a radical synthesis: a Calculus of the Subject that integrates the regulatory parameters of cybernetic personality theory with the topological structuralism of Lacan. By treating personality traits as the "initial conditions" and "control parameters" of a dynamical system, and by applying differential equations and catastrophe theory to the flow of conversation, we can construct a rigorous mathematical model of human subjectivity. This model aligns the "board" of the Big Five with Lacan's Four Discourses, interprets the "Dark Factor" of personality through the lens of jouissance, and formalizes the "dialectics" of conversation as a trajectory through a non-linear phase space.

2 The Cybernetic Architecture of the Self: Entropy and the Big Five

To bridge the gap between the measurable trait and the elusive subject, we must first reground the Big Five in physics and information theory. The most robust theoretical framework for this is Cybernetic Big Five Theory (CB5T), which redefines personality traits not as mere descriptors but as parameters of evolved cybernetic mechanisms designed to manage information and pursue goals.¹

2.1 The Thermodynamic Basis of Personality: Psychological Entropy

The fundamental problem of any biological or cognitive system is the management of Entropy. In thermodynamics, entropy is a measure of disorder; in information theory (Shannon entropy), it measures the uncertainty of a signal. Jordan Peterson, drawing on the work of Hirsh, Mar, and DeYoung, posits that the psychological equivalent of entropy is Anxiety.²

Psychological Entropy is defined as the experience of conflicting perceptual and behavioral affordances. When an organism encounters a situation where the path forward is unclear—where the internal map fails to predict the territory—entropy rises. The brain, functioning as a "prediction machine," attempts to minimize this free energy.

The relationship between personality and entropy can be formalized in the utility function of behavior. The classical utility function $U(g)$ is modified to include an entropic term:

$$U(g) = U(\hat{x}) + \beta \tag{1}$$

Here, β represents the entropic component, which decomposes into χN , where N is the quantity of psychological entropy (uncertainty/complexity) and χ is the subject's preference for entropy.

This coefficient χ is the mathematical formalization of the trait Openness/Intellect.

- **High Openness ($\chi > 0$):** The subject possesses a dopaminergic system (specifically the salience network) that treats information—even uncertain or chaotic information—as an incentive reward.³ They are "entropy-philic," driven to explore the unknown to transform it into the known.
- **High Neuroticism ($\chi < 0$):** The subject possesses a hyper-sensitive error-detection system. For them, prediction error signals existential threat. They are "entropy-phobic," seeking to minimize N at all costs.

2.2 The "Board" as a Cybernetic Phase Space

Jordan Peterson's "UnderstandMyself" report visualizes the personality as a "board" of sliders, representing the ten aspects of the Big Five (two aspects per trait). While popularly viewed as a static profile, this "board" is conceptually a Phase Space definition for the dynamical system of the self.⁴ It sets the "gain parameters" for the differential equations that govern behavior.

Table 1 maps these traits to their cybernetic functions and entropic roles.

TABLE 1 Big Five traits, cybernetic functions and entropic roles

BIG FIVE TRAIT	ASPECTS	CYBERNETIC FUNCTION	ENTROPIC ROLE	SYSTEMIC EFFECT
Neuroticism	Withdrawal	Error Sensitivity (Passive)	Entropy Monitor	Triggers retreat when $H > \text{Threshold}$.
	Volatility	Error Reactivity (Active)	Entropy Amplification	Triggers defense/fight response to uncertainty.
Conscientiousness	Industriousness	Goal Prioritization	Entropy Reduction (Active)	Work converts Chaos into Order.
	Orderliness	Boundary Maintenance	Entropy Exclusion	Disgust/purity keeps the system closed to noise.
Extraversion	Enthusiasm	Reward Prediction	Energy Input	Drives approach behavior ($+\Delta E$).
	Assertiveness	Social Dominance	Social Ordering	Imposes ego-structure on the group.
Agreeableness	Compassion	Empathic Resonance	Social Entropy Damping	Absorbs conflict to maintain bond.
	Politeness	Norm Compliance	Rule Adherence	Prevents social error through inhibition.
Openness	Intellect	Semantic Processing	Entropy Resolution	Converts abstract chaos into semantic order.
	Openness	Aesthetic Engagement	Entropy Immersion	Engages with perceptual chaos for experience.

The "Board" as a Vector Field:

Mathematically, the "board" defines the attractor landscape of the subject.

- A subject with **High Conscientiousness / Low Openness** operates in a Fixed Point Attractor. They aggressively minimize entropy, creating a life of rigid routine ("Order"). Their system is stable but brittle; a massive infusion of entropy (trauma) can cause catastrophic failure because they lack the plasticity to adapt.
- A subject with **High Openness / Low Conscientiousness** operates in a Chaotic Attractor (or Strange Attractor). They constantly ingest novelty, keeping their internal entropy high. This maximizes information processing but minimizes goal

completion ("Chaos").

2.3 The Geometry of Order and Chaos

Peterson's narrative dichotomy of "Order and Chaos" is not a metaphysical dualism but a cybernetic necessity described by Self-Organized Criticality.⁵

Order	The domain where $P(Error) \approx 0$.
Chaos	The domain where $P(Error) \rightarrow 1$.
The Zone of Proximal Development (Meaning)	The boundary layer.

The "Heroic" trajectory, in Petersonian calculus, is an optimality algorithm. It describes a trajectory $T(t)$ that oscillates across the boundary of Order and Chaos.

$$\frac{d(\text{Knowledge})}{dt} = f(\text{Chaos}) - f(\text{Anxiety})$$

(2)

The subject must expose themselves to enough Chaos to update their map (learning) but not so much that the error signal (Anxiety/Neuroticism) overwhelms the system and causes a collapse of the goal hierarchy.

3 The Topological Calculus: Lacan's Structural Algebra

While psychometrics measures the parameters of the ego, Lacanian psychoanalysis formalizes the logic of the subject ($\mathbb{S}$). Lacan argues that the subject is not a sphere (a consistent unity) but a Topological Object defined by a lack.

3.1 Topology vs. Metric: The Geometry of the Void

Lacan critiques the "exact sciences" for relying on measurement, which assumes a stable object. The subject of the unconscious, however, is an "effect of the signifier"—it appears only as a fading, a gap, or a discontinuity. To model this, Lacan utilizes Topology, specifically the geometry of surfaces like the Torus, the Möbius Strip, and the Klein Bottle.⁷

The Torus	Lacan uses the torus to model the relationship between Demand and Desire. • Demand is the repetitive cycle of articulating needs ("I want this," "I want that"). Geometrically, this corresponds to the circles winding around the body of the torus. • Desire is the central void or hole of the torus. No matter how many times one circles the body (satisfying demands), one never enters the central void. Desire is the "calculus of the hole"—it is structurally generated by the loops of demand but remains topologically distinct from them. • Implication for Psychometrics: The Big Five measures the "texture" of the torus's surface (the style of Demand). It cannot measure Desire, which is the topology of the void itself.
The Möbius Strip	This surface has only one side and one edge. It models the Extimacy of the subject—the fact that the "internal" unconscious is continuous with the "external" Other. There is no deep inside; the subject is a surface effect.

3.2 The Rotational Calculus of the Four Discourses

Lacan's theory of the Four Discourses acts as a "rotational calculus" of social bonds. It is a formal algebraic system where four terms rotate through four positions.⁸

The Elements:

S_1	(Master Signifier): The anchoring point, the command, the identity.
S_2	(Knowledge): The system, the bureaucracy, the network of signifiers.
$\$$	(The Barred Subject): The divided, anxious subject; the entropy of the system.
a	(Objet petit a): The surplus enjoyment, the cause of desire, the

"remainder" of the dialectic.

The Positions:

$$\frac{\text{Agent}}{\text{Truth}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Other}}{\text{Product}} \tag{3}$$

By aligning these discourses with the DISC personality model (Dominance, Influence, Steadiness, Conscientiousness), we can construct a "Rosetta Stone" linking Lacanian structure with behavioral traits.

1. The Master's Discourse ($S_1 \rightarrow S_2$) $\approx$ Dominance (D)

$$\frac{S_1}{\S} \rightarrow \frac{S_2}{a}$$

Structure: The Agent is S_1 (Master). He commands S_2 (Knowledge/Slave) to produce results (a). The truth of his division ($\S$) is hidden/repressed.

DISC Alignment: Dominance (D). The "D" personality is assertive, results-oriented, and decisive. They occupy the position of the Master Signifier ("Just do it"). They repress vulnerability ($\S$) and instrumentalize knowledge (S_2) to extract value.

Entropic State: Low Entropy. The Master imposes rigid order to foreclose the anxiety of the Real.

2. The University Discourse ($S_2 \rightarrow a$) $\approx$ Conscientiousness (C)

$$\frac{S_2}{S_1} \rightarrow \frac{a}{S}$$

Structure: Knowledge (S_2) is the Agent. It addresses the raw object (a) to transform it into a subject (S). The truth is the hidden Master (S_1)—the dogma underlying the knowledge.

DISC Alignment: Conscientiousness (C). The "C" personality relies on accuracy, rules, and systems. They do not speak as "I" (Master) but as the voice of the System (S_2). "The data suggests..." This discourse attempts to suture the lack with total knowledge (Encyclopedism).

Entropic State: Regulated Entropy. Bureaucracy functions to metabolize entropy through endless categorization.

3. The Hysteric's Discourse ($S \rightarrow S_1$) $\approx$ Influence (I)

$$\frac{S}{a} \rightarrow \frac{S_1}{S_2}$$

Structure: The Divided Subject (S) is the Agent. She interrogates the Master (S_1) to produce Knowledge (S_2). The truth is the object a (she wants to be the cause of desire).

DISC Alignment: Influence (I). The "I" personality is expressive, people-oriented, and seeks validation. They perform their division/lack ("Look at me!") to provoke a response from the Other. They are the agents of Innovation because they refuse to be satisfied by the Master's answers.

Entropic State: High Entropy. The Hysteric introduces "noise" (questioning) into the system, preventing the Master's order from becoming stagnant.

4. The Analyst's Discourse ($a \rightarrow \S$) $\approx$ Steadiness (S)

$$\frac{a}{S_2} \rightarrow \frac{\S}{S_1}$$

Structure: The Object (a) is the Agent. It addresses the Subject ($\S$), forcing it to produce its own Signifiers (S_1).

DISC Alignment: Steadiness (S). The "S" personality is supportive, a good listener, and passive. In the clinic, the Analyst occupies the position of the "dummy" or "trash"—the silent screen (a) that causes the analysand to speak. They do not impose order; they allow the subject's own order to emerge.

Entropic State: Entropy Catalyst. By refusing to provide "answers" (Order), the Analyst forces the subject to confront their own entropy.

3.3 The Dark Factor (D) and Transgressive Jouissance

Modern psychometrics identifies the D-Factor (Dark Factor) as the core of the Dark Triad (Psychopathy, Narcissism, Machiavellianism). D is defined as the tendency to maximize individual utility at the expense of others.¹⁰

Lacanian theory provides the structural logic for this trait: Perversion.

The Neurotic	Sacrifices their jouissance (enjoyment) to the Law (S_1) to maintain the social bond.
The Pervert	Disavows the Law. They position themselves as the Instrument of the Other's Jouissance. ¹¹
The Calculus of D	The D-factor individual treats the Other not as a subject ($\S$) but as an object (a) to be consumed. Mathematically, this is an attempt to close the gap of desire—to fill the hole in the Torus—by force.
Entropy	The Dark personality acts as an Entropy Pump. They export entropy (chaos/pain) into the environment to maintain their own rigid internal stability.

4 The Mathematics of Conversation: Differential Equations and Catastrophe

Having defined the "statical" structures (Board/Topology), we must now model the Kinematics of conversation. How do these subjects interact in real-time? A conversation is a non-linear dynamical system characterized by feedback loops, hysteresis, and phase transitions.

4.1 The Differential Equations of Dyadic Interaction

John Gottman, alongside mathematicians like James Murray, developed a system of Coupled Non-Linear Difference Equations to model the flow of affect in conversation.¹² These equations express the state of a conversation at time $t + 1$ based on the state at t .

Let W_t be the emotional state of Speaker A (e.g., Wife) and H_t be the state of Speaker B (e.g., Husband).

$$W_{t+1} = I_W(W_t) + R_{HW}(H_t) + A \tag{4}$$

$$H_{t+1} = I_H(H_t) + R_{WH}(W_t) + B \tag{5}$$

The Parameters:

Inertia (I_W)	The Autoregressive term. $W_{t+1} = r_1 W_t$. This measures emotional rigidity. <i>Psychometric Link:</i> High Neuroticism correlates with high negative inertia (once negative, it takes significant energy to return to zero). High Conscientiousness correlates with high regulatory inertia (damping factor).
Uninfluenced Set Point (A, B)	The constant term. The "resting state" of the interaction. <i>Psychometric Link:</i> Determined by Extraversion (positive affect) and Agreeableness (relational warmth).
Influence Function (R_{HW})	The Coupling term. How much does H affect W? This function is Non-Linear. It is often a Bilinear or Sigmoidal

function.

Thresholds: A subject may ignore negativity until it crosses a critical threshold (H_{crit}), at which point their reaction spikes.

The Phase Portrait:

The solutions to these equations generate a Phase Space (a graphical map of all possible states).

Attractors	Stable points where the conversation "settles." A secure conversation has an attractor in the Positive/Positive quadrant. A conflict spiral has an attractor in the Negative/Negative quadrant.
Nullclines	The lines where $\frac{dW}{dt} = 0$. The intersection of nullclines defines the fixed points.

4.2 Catastrophe Theory: Modeling the "Topic Shift" and "Scansion"

Standard calculus models continuous change. However, conversations are marked by Discontinuities: sudden realizations, abrupt topic shifts, or explosions of rage. To model this, we require Catastrophe Theory (René Thom).¹⁴

The Cusp Catastrophe model is particularly applicable to the "dialectics" of conversation. It models a system with one state variable and two control parameters.

Variables:

State Variable (z)	The "Conversational Flow" or "Consensus."
Control Parameter α (Normal Factor)	Information/Logic. The linear accumulation of facts (S_2).
Control Parameter β (Splitting Factor)	Emotional Tension/Entropy (The Real/Anxiety).

The Potential Function:

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{4}z^4 - \frac{1}{2}\beta z^2 - \alpha z$$

(6)

The Dynamics of the Cusp:

Low Tension (β is low)	As Information (α) changes, the conversation (z) shifts smoothly. Arguments are logical and linear.
High Tension (β is high)	The system enters the Bifurcation Set. The potential surface folds over. The conversation enters a state of Bistability. It can be simultaneously "Polite" (Upper Sheet) and "Hostile" (Lower Sheet).
The Catastrophe	As Information (α) pushes the system to the edge of the fold, the state (z) suddenly drops from the Upper to the Lower sheet.
Phenomenology	This is the "Topic Shift" or the "Outburst." A trivial comment triggers a massive structural collapse in the discourse because the underlying entropy (β) was critical.

4.3 The Lacanian "Cut" (Scansion) as a Mathematical Operator

In Lacanian practice, the analyst uses Scansion (the variable-length session) to punctuate the discourse. The session does not end by the clock (chronological time) but by the logic of the signifier (logical time).¹⁷

Mathematically, Scansion acts as a Dirac Delta Function ($\delta(t)$) applied to the flow of speech.

The Chain	The analysand produces a stream of signifiers ($S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \dots$). Meaning is Retroactive (<i>Nachträglichkeit</i>); the sentence is not understood until it ends.
The Cut	The analyst cuts the session at a moment of Unstable Equilibrium (High Entropy).
The Operator	The Cut stops the "sliding" of the signified under the signifier. It collapses the wave function of meaning, forcing the subject to

retroactively fix the meaning of their speech.

Dialectical Result	This forces a Reorganization. The subject cannot continue the same Limit Cycle (neurotic repetition). They must produce a new S_1 to account for the Cut.
--------------------	---

5 The Dialectics ("Dfatexitc") of Conversation

The user’s query regarding "dfatexitc" (Dialectics) necessitates a formal distinction between Hegelian and Lacanian dialectics in the context of our calculus.⁹

5.1 Hegelian Dialectics: The Spiral to Synthesis

Thesis → Antithesis → Synthesis

Phase Space: This is a trajectory towards a Stable Fixed Point. The system oscillates but converges.

Conversation: In a "productive" debate, contradictions are sublated (*Aufheben*) into a higher truth. The entropy of the system decreases over time as integration increases.

5.2 Lacanian Dialectics: The Asymptotic Remainder

$S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow a$ (Remainder)

Phase Space: This is a trajectory towards a Strange Attractor. There is No Synthesis. The "Sexual Relationship does not exist" means there is no equation that resolves the two subjects into unity.

Conversation: The dialogue produces a Remainder (Object *a*)—something that cannot be said, a misunderstanding that persists. The "Cut" does not solve the problem; it isolates the Real.

Implication: A "Lacanian" conversation (analysis) does not aim for agreement (homeostasis) but for the isolation of the core non-linearity (the Sinthome) that organizes the subject's chaos.

6 Synthesis: The Physics of Subjectivity

By integrating these domains, we arrive at a unified "Physics of Subjectivity."

The Subject	is a topological surface (Torus) structured by a lack.
Personality (Big Five)	is the set of Elastic Moduli of this surface—how rigid (Conscientious) or flexible (Open) it is in response to the pressure of the Real (Entropy).
Conversation	is the Coupled Oscillation of two such surfaces. • Resonance: When the natural frequencies (traits) match, energy is exchanged efficiently (Rapport). • Dissonance/Catastrophe: When a rigid surface (High C) encounters a chaotic driver (High O), or when high tension (β) creates a bifurcation, the system undergoes catastrophic phase transitions (Conflict).

Actionable Conclusion for the "Board":

To master the "Board" is not just to know one's traits, but to understand one's Phase Space.

High Neuroticism	You inhabit a landscape with deep "wells" (negative attractors). You need high "Activation Energy" (Extraversion/Will) to escape them.
High Openness	You inhabit a flat, chaotic landscape. You need artificial "Walls" (Conscientious habits) to prevent entropy death (dissipation).
The Hero's Journey	The algorithmic process of voluntarily moving from a Fixed Point (Order) into the Chaotic Region to extract information, and returning to establish a new, more complex Fixed Point.

The "Calculus of the Subject" is thus the mathematics of navigating the void, using the coordinates of personality to steer the vessel of the ego through the turbulent flows of

the Real.

TABLE 2 The Rosetta Stone of Personality Models

LACANIAN DISCOURSE	DISC PROFILE	BIG FIVE CLUSTER	MATHEMATICAL ATTRACTOR	LOGIC OF THE BOND
Master ($S_1 \rightarrow S_2$)	Dominance (D)	High E, Low A	Fixed Point (Stability)	Command: Imposes S_1 to quell entropy.
University ($S_2 \rightarrow a$)	Conscientiousness (C)	High C, Low O	Limit Cycle (Repetition)	Bureaucracy: Uses S_2 to suture the lack.
Hysteric ($\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S_1$)	Influence (I)	High E, High N, High O	Chaotic / Strange	Interrogation: Generates entropy to demand Truth.
Analyst ($a \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$)	Steadiness (S)	High A, Low E, Low N	Basin of Attraction	Evocation: Embodies the void to cause speech.

TABLE 3 Differential Parameters of Conversation

PARAMETER	VARIABLE	DEFINITION	PSYCHOMETRIC CORRELATE
State	$x(t)$	Current Affect / Consensus	Mood
Inertia	r	Resistance to change	Neuroticism (Negative Inertia)
Set Point	A	Equilibrium state	Agreeableness / Extraversion
Influence	$I(y)$	Coupling strength	Empathy (Agreeableness)
Normal Factor	α	Information content	Logic / Content
Splitting Factor	β	System Tension / Entropy	Anxiety / The Real
The Cut	$\delta(t)$	Discontinuous Operator	Scansion / Intervention

Works cited

1. Cybernetic Big Five Theory - Scott Barry Kaufman, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://scottbarrykaufman.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/DeYoung-2014-CB5T-JRP.pdf>

2. Psychological Entropy: A Framework for Understanding Uncertainty-Related Anxiety - Jacob Hirsh, accessed November 27, 2025, <http://www.jacobhirsh.com/Hirsh,%20Mar,%20&%20Peterson%20-%20Psychological%20Entropy.pdf>
3. The neuromodulator of exploration: A unifying theory of the role of dopamine in personality, accessed November 27, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259111728_The_Neuromodulator_of_Exploration_A_Unifying_Theory_of_the_Role_of_Dopamine_in_Personality
4. Understand Myself - The Big Five Aspects Scale | PDF - Scribd, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/629406119/Understand-Myself-The-Big-Five-Aspects-Scale>
5. Psycology 10(077): Maps of Meaning: the Architecture of Belief, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.cogsci.ecs.soton.ac.uk/cgi/psyc/newpsy?10.077>
6. Chaos theory - Wikipedia, accessed November 27, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chaos_theory
7. Why Topology Matters in Psychoanalysis – Part II - LACANONLINE.COM, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.lacanonline.com/2015/03/why-topology-matters-in-psychoanalysis-part-ii/>
8. The Symptom 10 » Spring 2009 – “Universalism versus ... - Lacan.com, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.lacan.com/symptom10a/>
9. Lacan and the Structure of Discourse Bernard Nominé - Informit, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://search.informit.org/doi/pdf/10.3316/informit.028850507311848?download=true>
10. The Dark Factor of Personality: D, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.darkfactor.org/>
11. Human Relations: Counting the cost of the Toxic Triad of Personality By Michael Mulvihill “Concerns should drive us into acti, accessed November 27, 2025, https://www.nplg.gov.ge/uploads/the_lecture_Human_Relations.pdf
12. Qualitative Dynamic Mathematical Modeling of Marital Interaction, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.johngottman.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/The-mathematics-of-marital-conflict-qualitative-dynamic-mathematical-modeling-of-marital-interaction.pdf>
13. Dynamic Mathematical Nonlinear Modeling of Newly wed Marital Interaction, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.johngottman.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/The-Mathematics-of-Marital-Conflict-Dynamic-Mathematical-Nonlinear-Modeling-of-Newlywed-Marital-Interaction.pdf>
14. Theory and Practice of Catastrophe - PAC, accessed November 27, 2025, https://pac.org.mx/uploads/sitac/pdf/IN-La-cat%C3%A1strofe-SitacIX-memorias_EDUARDO-ABAROA.pdf
15. Conformality of 7 WH-questions to 7 Elementary Catastrophes: an exploration of potential psychosocial implications - Laetus in Praesens, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.laetusinpraesens.org/musings/catastro.php>
16. A Catastrophe Theory Model for Research Training in Higher Education: A Complex Systems Approach to Educational Change - ResearchGate, accessed November 27, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391248605_A_Catastrophe_Theory_Model_for_Research_Training_in_Higher_Education_A_Complex_Systems_Approach_to_Educational_Change
17. To resume again...12 - Lacan.com, accessed November 27, 2025, <https://www.lacan.com/frameXII0.htm>
18. View of Lacanian Psychoanalysis and the Logic of the Cut | Sanglap, accessed November 27, 2025, <http://sanglap-journal.in/index.php/sanglap/article/view/93/154>

19. (PDF) Lacan's Dialectics of Knowledge Production: The Four Discourses as a Detour to Hegel - ResearchGate, accessed November 27, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358493952_Lacan's_Dialectics_of_Knowledge_Production_The_Four_Discourses_as_a_Detour_to_Hegel